

Comments by Stephen J. Robinson 12th November 2012

Slide	Comments
1	It may be better to refer to 'public acceptability' rather than 'public acceptance' in
	the title. It seems a small difference though it is very important. 'Acceptance' is an
	adjective, implying a degree of passivity in that people can only try to put up with
	what would be done anyway. This means talking about public acceptance could be
	taken as indicating a lack of empathy or willingness to listen to people. It may be
	better to talk of developing 'public acceptability'. Acceptability is a noun that implies
	an activity, namely involving those affected and hearing views on what is or isn't
	desirable around a proposed course of action and informing decisions accordingly.
4	The content is good though there is a lot of writing – consider whether Slide 4 could
	be split after the 'Resistance of local municipalities bullet point' and made into two slides?
	Obtaining finance has been cited as a risk or challenge for new nuclear plants in the
5	UK The content is good though there is a lot of writing – consider whether Slide 5 could
5	be split and 'Regarding Nuclear' put on a new slide
	Check the point about phase out as it was the Swedish policy though online sources
	say it has been reversed?
	In the box at the bottom 'Public people' delete people so it reads 'Public accepts'
6	The content is good though there is a lot of writing – consider whether Slide 6 could
	be split into two or even three slides?
7	I really like the diagram which makes a complicated point in a very simple way!
	Consider the nuclear point on another slide for clarity
8	The content is clear though there is a lot of writing – consider whether Slide 8 could
	be split into two
	Text in the box should read 'Today all kinds of information can be accessed via the
	web – providing accurate and timely information when necessary is crucial'.
10	In the third bullet point insert a 's' so it reads 'Communication in nuclear projects'
	Acknowledge that 'Communication' should be seen as a two-way process that
	involves both giving people information and listening to their views (though you
	might have said this earlier in the talk)
	Consider starting a new slide for the section 'Will such communication bodies be
	efficient? (effective might be a better word rather than efficient)
11	Consider where to talk about acceptability rather than acceptance (see 1 above)
	Consider using 'accurate' instead of 'correct' information sharing?
	Maybe say 'communications' rather than 'communicating organisation'?
	You might consider putting your In conclusion point on a separate slide?

SJR Strategic Consulting Ltd

5 Park View • Railwayside • Barnes • London SW13 OPH • Tel +44 (0)20 8878 9362 • E-mail info@sjrscltd.com • www.sjrscltd.com

SJR Strategic Consulting Ltd. Registered in England & Wales Company Number 07490934 VAT Registration Number GB 106 5681 20 Registered office: c/o Farrow Accounting & Tax Ltd • Worple Court • 94-95 South Worple Way • London SW14 8ND



SJR Strategic Consulting Ltd

5 Park View • Railwayside • Barnes • London SW13 OPH • Tel +44 (0)20 8878 9362 • E-mail info@sjrscltd.com • www.sjrscltd.com

SJR Strategic Consulting Ltd. Registered in England & Wales Company Number 07490934 VAT Registration Number GB 106 5681 20 Registered office: c/o Farrow Accounting & Tax Ltd • Worple Court • 94-95 South Worple Way • London SW14 8ND